Case 5:10-cv-07384-RBS Document 1 Filed 12/20/10 Page 1 of 26 CIVIL COVER SHEET JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) DEFENDANTS **PLAINTIFFS** Borough of Ephrata and PNC Bank, NA Nicholas J. Reinhart, Nicholas J. Reinhart, III, and Sunset Express, Ltd. County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Lancaster (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lancaster (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) John P. Gonzales, Esquire (610) 354-8264 (d) Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin Sara A. Austin, Esquire 620 Freedom Business Center, Suite 300, King of Prussia, PA 19406 Austin Law Firm, LLC Donna M. Donaher, Esquire (412.594.5533) 226 East Market Street Tucker Arensberg, 1500 One PPG Place, Pittsburg, PA 15222 York, PA 17403 (717.846.2246) (Attorney for PNC, NA) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF **PTF** Incorporated or Principal Place □ 4 \Box 4 Citizen of This State 1 1 U.S. Government Federal Question of Business In This State (U.S. Government Not a Party) Plaintiff □ 5 2 Incorporated and Principal Place □ 5 □ 2 Citizen of Another State U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity of Business In Another State (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Defendant \Box 6 □ 6 \square 3 3 Foreign Nation Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) OTHER STATUTES FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY CONTRACT TORTS 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 7400 State Reapportionment PERSONAL INJURY 610 Agriculture PERSONAL INJURY 110 Insurance 410 Antitrust 620 Other Food & Drug 423 Withdrawal 120 Marine 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury— 430 Banks and Banking 625 Drug Related Seizure 28 USC 157 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice 450 Commerce of Property 21 USC 881 Liability 365 Personal Injury 140 Negotiable Instrument 460 Deportation 630 Liquor Laws PROPERTY RIGHTS 150 Recovery of Overpayment 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability 470 Racketeer Influenced and 640 R.R. & Truck 368 Asbestos Personal & Enforcement of Judgment Slander 820 Copyrights Corrupt Organizations 650 Airline Regs. Injury Product 151 Medicare Act 330 Federal Employers' 830 Patent 480 Consumer Credit 660 Occupational 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability Liability 840 Trademark 490 Cable/Sat TV Safety/Health Student Loans 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPERTY 690 Other 810 Selective Service (Excl. Veterans) 345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud 350 Securities/Commodities/ ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability 371 Truth in Lending **LABOR** SOCIAL SECURITY Exchange of Veteran's Benefits ☐350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal 375 Customer Challenge 861 HIA (1395ff) 710 Fair Labor Standards 160 Stockholders' Suits 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage 862 Black Lung (923) 12 USC 3410 190 Other Contract Product Liability Act 385 Property Damage 890 Other Statutory Actions 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 891 Agricultural Acts 864 SSID Title XVI 730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting 196 Franchise 892 Economic Stabilization Act
893 Environmental Matters 865 RSI (405(g)) REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vacate 894 Energy Allocation Act 210 Land Condemnation 790 Other Labor Litigation 895 Freedom of Information 442 Employment Sentence FEDERAL TAX SUITS 220 Foreclosure 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus: Security Act 7 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 530 General 900Appeal of Fee Determination Accommodations 240 Torts to Land or Defendant) 535 Death Penalty 245 Tort Product Liability 444 Welfare Under Equal Access IRS-Third Party 290 All Other Real Property 445 Amer, w/Disabilities 540 Mandamus & Other **IMMIGRATION** to Justice 26 USC 7609 950 Constitutionality of Employment 550 Civil Rights 462 Naturalization Application 555 Prison Condition State Statutes ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities 463 Habeas Corpus Other Alien Detainee x 440 Other Civil Rights 465 Other Immigration Appeal to District Transferred from V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 5 another district ☐ 6 Multidistrict 7 Judge from 4 Reinstated or 3 Remanded from ☐ 1 Original X 2 Removed from Litigation Magistrate (specify) State Court Appellate Court Reonened Proceeding Judgment Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 42 U.S.C. § 1983 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Violation of Fourteenth Amendment Due Process and Equal Protection CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: VII. REQUESTED IN ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** JURY DEMAND: Yes X No UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 **COMPLAINT:** VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): DOCKET NUMBER JUDGE N/A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

: CIVIL ACTION

I. CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

	v.	:		
Boro	ough of Ephrata and PNC Bank, N	A :		
		:	NO.	
comp serve a de appe	ccordance with the Civil Justice Eplete a Case Management Track Pe a copy on all defendants. (See § fendant does not agree with the arance, submit to the clerk of cougnation form specifying the track to	Designation Form in all ci 1:03 of the plan set forth of plaintiff regarding said out and serve on the plaintif	vil cases at the time of filing in the reverse side of this form. designation, that defendant shift and all other parties, a case of	the complaint and In the event that hall, with its first management track
SEL	ECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWI	ING CASE MANAGEME	ENT TRACKS:	
(a)	Habeas Corpus-Cases brought ur	nder 28 U.S.C. §2241throug	gh §2255.	()
(b)	()			
(c)	Arbitration-Cases require to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.			()
(d)	Asbestos-Cases involving clair exposure to asbestos.	ns for personal injury or pr	operty damage from	()
(e)	Special Management-Cases the commonly referred to as compute the court. (See reverse side of	lex and that need special or	intense management by	
	management cases.)			()
(f) Standard ManagementCases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.				(X)
Dat	8/16/10 e	John P. Gonzales Attorney-at-law	Defendant Attorney for	
(61	0) 354-8264	(610) 354-8299	jpgonzales@mdwcg.com	
Tele	ephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	

Nicholas J. Reinhart,

Nicholas J. Reinhart, III and Sunset Express,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA--DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Addre	ess of Plaintiff:	450 Hertzog Valley R	oad, Denver, PA 1	7517					
Addre	ess of Defendant:	124 South State St	reet, Ephrata, PA 1	17522					
Place	of Accident, incid	ent or Transaction	Ephrata, PA						
			(Use Reverse Sid	de For Addit	ional Space)				
		nultidistrict litigation	possibilities?			Yes		No	\boxtimes
	<i>TED CASE IF AN</i> Number :		dge			Date Termi	nated:		
		related when yes is an		ne following	questions:				
1.	Is this case relate	d to property included sly terminated action	d in an earlier numl			nin Yes		No	X
2.	Does this case in	volve the same issue of or within one year p	of fact or grow out	of the same ed action in	transaction as this court?	s a Yes		No	X
3.	Does this case in earlier numbered court?	volve the validity or i case pending or with	nfringement of a pa in one year previou	atent already usly terminat	in suit or any ted action in t	y his Yes		No	X
	Ouestion Cases: Indemnity Con Other Contract FELA Jones ActPers Antitrust Patent Labor Civil Rights Habeas Corpus Securities Act((Please specify Social Security	sonal Injury s) Cases) Review Cases al Questions Cases	, and All	B. Divers 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Airpland Assault, Marine Motor V Other Po Products Products All othe	on Cases: ce Contract and ce Personal Injury Defamation Personal Injury /ehicle Persona ersonal Injury (s Liability s LiabilityAsl or Diversity Cas specify)	ry , ,l Injury Please sp pestos		

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION

(Check appropriate category)

I, John P. Gonzales, Esquire	of record do hereby certify:		
	8, Section 4(a)(2), that to the best of my known case exceed the sum of \$150,000 exclusive		
Relief other than monetary da	images is sought.		
DATE: 12/16/10	John P. Gonzales, Esquire	71265	
NOTE: A trial d	e novo will be a trial by jury only if there h	as been compliance with F.R.C.P.38.	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the	within case is not related to any case now pe	ending or within one year previously	
terminated action in this court excep			
DATE: 13/16/10	John P. Gonzales, Esquire	71265	
	Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D. #	
CIV.609 (Rev. 9/99)			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Nicholas J. Reinhart, Nicholas J. Reinhart, III,

and Sunset Express, Ltd.

: Civil Action No.

Jury Trial Demanded v.

PNC Bank, NA,

Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #1, Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #2, Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #3 and

Borough of Ephrata

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

Nicholas J. Reinhart, Nicholas J. Reinhart, III,

: Civil Action No. 10-13522

and Sunset Express, Ltd.

: Jury Trial Demanded

PNC Bank, NA,

v.

Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #1, Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #2, Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #3 and

Borough of Ephrata

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA:

Defendant, the Borough of Ephrata, by and through their undersigned counsel, Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin and John P. Gonzales, Esquire, gives notice that the above-captioned matter is being removed from the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County, PA to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for the following reasons:

1. On November 10, 2010, the Plaintiffs, Nicholas J. Reinhart, Nicholas J. Reinhart, III and Sunset Express, Ltd., filed a Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County at Docket No. 10-13522. (A copy of the Complaint is filed as Exhibit "A").

- 2. On November 24, 2010, the Plaintiffs' Complaint in the Lancaster County Court of Common Pleas was served on the Defendant, the Borough of Ephrata.
- 3. Plaintiffs' Complaint sets forth federal questions within the original jurisdiction of this Court including those that allege the Plaintiffs' federal statutory rights were violated. These include the following counts:

Count VIII – Civil Rights Violation – 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against the Borough of Ephrata.

- 4. This Court has original jurisdiction over these claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1343, and therefore, this action is one which may be removed to this Court by Notice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 and § 1446.
- 5. This Notice of Removal is being filed within thirty (30) days after the receipt of the underlying Complaint against the Defendant.
- 6. Venue in this District is appropriate because the underlying cause of action was filed in Lancaster County which is part of this Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 7. Notice of Removal has been served this day upon counsel for Plaintiffs and forwarded to the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County, Pennsylvania.
 - 8. This Notice of Removal is signed pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 11.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Borough of Ephrata, respectfully requests this Court permit the removal of this action from the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County, PA to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER,

COLEMAN & GOGGIN

JOHN P GONZALES, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Defendant, Borough of Ephrata

DATE: 17/16/10

VERIFICATION

JOHN P. GONZALES, ESQUIRE, hereby states that he is attorney for Defendant, Borough of Ephrata, herein and verifies that the statements made in the foregoing Notice of Removal are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief. The undersigned understands that the statements therein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. section 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

JOHN POONZALES, ESQUIRE

DATED: 12/16/10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Nicholas J. Reinhart, Nicholas J. Reinhart, III,

: Civil Action No.

and Sunset Express, Ltd.

: Jury Trial Demanded

PNC Bank, NA,

٧.

Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #1, Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #2, Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #3 and

Borough of Ephrata

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

Nicholas J. Reinhart, Nicholas J. Reinhart, III,

: Civil Action No. 10-13522

and Sunset Express, Ltd.

v.

: Jury Trial Demanded

PNC Bank, NA,

Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #1, Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #2, Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #3 and

Borough of Ephrata

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, JOHN P. GONZALES, ESQUIRE, being duly sworn according to law, hereby certify that Notice of Removal was forwarded to all counsel of record on the below listed date and was sent via first class mail, postage prepaid to the last known address of other parties or their representatives.

Sara A. Austin, Esquire Austin Law Firm, LLC 226 East Market Street York, PA 17403

Donna M. Donaher, Esquire Tucker Arensberg 1500 One PPG Place Pittsburg, PA 15222 MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN

JOHN P. GONZALES, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Defendant, Borough of Ephrata

DATE: 12/16/10

EXHIBIT "A"

Supreme Court of Pe.	.nsylvania	DECEIVE					
Court of Common I Evil Cover Shee Lancaster The information collected on this f supplement or replace the filing and	etCounty form is used solely for		BOROUGH OF EPHR				
Commencement of Action: Complaint Writ of Sum Transfer from Another Jurisdiction		Petition Declaration of Taking	☐ Notice of Appeal				
Lead Plaintiff's Name: Nicholas J. Reinhart		Lead Defendant's Nam PNC Bank, NA	e:				
Name of Plaintiff/Appellant's Attorney	Se) Litigant						
Are money damages requested? :	⊠Yes □ No	Dollar Amount Re (Check on					
Is this	a Class Action Sui	t? · □ Yes	⊠ No				
Nature of the Case: Place an "X" to the left of the ONE case category that most accurately describes your PRIMARY CASE. If you are making more than one type of claim, check the one that you consider most important.							
TORT (do not include Mass Tort) Intentional Malicious Prosecution Motor Vehicle Nuisance Premises Liability Product Liability (does not include mass tort) Slander/Libel/ Defamation Other: MASS TORT Asbestos Tobacco Toxic Tort - DES	CONTRACT (do r Buyer Plaintiff Debt Collectio Debt Collectio Employment D Discrimination Employment D Other:	n: Cředit Card n: Other Dispute:	CIVIL APPEALS Administrative Agencies Board of Assessment Board of Elections Dept. of Transportation Zoning Board Statutory Appeal: Other Judicial Appeals MDJ - Landlord/Tenant MDJ - Money Judgment Other:				
PROFESSIONAL LIABLITY Dental Legal Medical Other Professional:	REAL PROPERT Ejectment Eminent Doma Ground Rent Landlord/Tena Mortgage Force Partition Quiet Title Other:	in/Condemnation	MISCELLANEOUS Common Law/Statutory Arbitration Declaratory Judgment Mandamus Non-Domestic Relations Restraining Order Quo Warranto Replevin Other:				

LANCASTER COUNTY **PROTHONOTARY**

CIVIL COVER SHEET

PLEASE STAPLE THIS COVER SHEET BEHIND THE STATE-WIDE CIVIL COVER SHEET (IF APPLICABLE)

ZIP CODES ARE REQUIRED & INFORMATION MUST MATCH PLEADING

CASE ID:

10 - 13522

PLAINTIFF'S NAME Nicholas J. Reinhart VS

DEFENDANT'S NAME

PNC Bank

ADDRESS:

450 Hertzog Valley Road Denver, PA 17517

ADDRESS:

280 North Reading Road Ephrata, PA 17522

TELEPHONE #: 610-909-4500

(717) 733-7937

PLEASE LIST NAMES, ADDRESSES OF ADDITIONAL PARTIES ON A SEPARATE SHEET. ** Additional Parties Listed

Name of Firm and Filing Attorney OR Filing Party, Address, Telephone Number, AOPC Number

Firm:

Filing Attorney/ Party: Sara A. Austin, Esquire

59052

AOPC#

Austin Law Firm, LLC

Address:

226 East Market Street

City: York State:

Zip Code: 17403

Telephone Number: 717-846-2246

REV 5/2010

.. Plaintiff

Nicholas J. Reinhart, III 17 Cowpath Lane Denver, PA 17517

717-471-0072

Sunset Express, Ltd. 3511 Silverside Rd., Ste. 105 Wilmington, DE 19810 Defendant

Ephrata Police Officer John Doe, #1 c/o Borough of Ephrata 124 South State Street Ephrata, PA 17522

717-738-9222

Ephrata Police Officer John Doe, #2 c/o Borough of Ephrata 124 South State Street Ephrata, PA 17522

717-738-9222

Ephrata Police Officer John Doe, #3 c/o Borough of Ephrata 124 South State Street Ephrata, PA 17522

717-738-9222

Borough of Ephrata 124 South State Street Ephrata, PA 17522

717-738-9222

Sara A. Austin, Esquire ID # 59052 Austin Law Firm, LLC 226 East Market Street York, PA 17403 717-846-2246 10 NOV -1 AM 8: 33

PROTHOHOTARY'S OFF her
LANCASTER PA

NICHOLAS J. REINHART, NICHOLAS J. REINHART, III, and SUNSET EXPRESS LTD., : IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS : of LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNA.

Plaintiffs

No.: 10-13522

VS.

PNC BANK NA, EPHRATA POLICE OFFICER JOHN DOE #1, EPHRATA POLICE OFFICER JOHN DOE #2, : EPHRATA POLICE OFFICER JOHN DOE #3, and BOROUGH OF EPHRATA Defendants : CIVIL ACTION - LAW & EQUITY

NOTICE TO DEFEND

You have been sued in Court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this complaint and notice are served by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judg-ment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief quested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW. THIS OFFICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A LAWYER.

(balance of page intentionally left blank)

IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE A LAWYER, THIS OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER LEGAL SERVICES TO ELIGIBLE PERSON AT A REDUCED FEE OR NO FEE.

Lancaster Bar Association Lawyer Referral Service 28 East Orange Street Lancaster, PA 17602 Telephone: 717-393-0737 Sara A. Austin, Esquire ID # 59052 Austin Law Firm, LLC 226 East Market Street York, PA 17403 717-846-2246 ENTERED AND FILL DE 10 NOV -1 AM 8: 34 PKUTHONSTARY S DEFECT LANGASTER PA

NICHOLAS J. REINHART, NICHOLAS J. REINHART, III, and SUNSET EXPRESS LTD., Plaintiffs : IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS : of LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNA.

; NO.:

10-13522

VŞ.

PNC BANK NA, EPHRATA POLICE OFFICER JOHN DOE #1, EPHRATA POLICE OFFICER JOHN DOE #2, EPHRATA POLICE OFFICER JOHN DOE #3, and BOROUGH OF EPHRATA Defendants : CIVIL ACTION - LAW & EQUITY

COMPLAINT

AND NOW, TO WIT, this 2 day of October, 2010, come the Plaintiffs, Nicholas J. Reinhart, Nicholas J. Reinhart, III, and Sunset Express Ltd., by and through their attorney, Sara A. Austin, Esq., AUSTIN LAW FIRM, LLC, and do aver the following:

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Nicholas J. Reinhart is an adult individual with a business address at 515
 Reading Road, Ephrata, PA (hereinafter Plaintiff Father).
- 2. Plaintiff Nicholas J. Reinhart, III, is an adult individual with a business address at 515 Reading Road, Ephrata, PA (hereinafter Plaintiff Son).
- 3. Plaintiff Sunset Express Ltd., is a Delaware corporation with an address of 3511 Silverside Rd., Ste. 105, Wilmington, DE 19810 (hereinafter Plaintiff Corporation).

- 4. Defendant PNC Bank, NA is a national banking association having a local place of regular business at 280 North Reading Road, Ephrata, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania (hereinafter "PNC").
- 5. Defendant Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #1 is a police officer for the Borough of Ephrata, which has its police department headquartered at 124 South State Street, Ephrata, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania.
- 6. Defendant Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #2 is a police officer for the Borough of Ephrata, which has its police department headquartered at 124 South State Street, Ephrata, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania.
- 7. Defendant Ephrata Police Officer John Doe #3 is a police officer for the Borough of Ephrata, which has its police department headquartered at 124 South State Street, Ephrata, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania.
- 8. Defendant Borough of Ephrata is a Pennsylvania municipality having as its primary business address 124 South State Street, Ephrata, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania (hereinafter "Boro").

JURISDICTION

9. Pennsylvania has jurisdiction and proper venue for this case sits in Lancaster County, because most of the parties reside in and all of the events giving rise to this cause of action occurred in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania.

FACTS

10. Plaintiff Father is the sole member of Plaintiff Corporation, which owns a boat, identified as a 2002 BLACK THUNDER 43 EXPRESS, S/N DON43VG8F102, with two 2002 MERCRUISER 500HP GAS motors S/N OM050154 and OM050136 (hereinafter the "Boat").

- 11. In this action, Plaintiff Father is acting on behalf of himself and Plaintiff Corporation; references to the former shall be deemed to also include the latter.
- 12. On October 15, 2010, PNC, through a repossession agent, appeared at Plaintiffs' business for the purpose of taking possession of the Boat.
 - 13. PNC has no legal possessory or re-possessory interest in the Boat, contract or otherwise.
- 14. At the time PNC's repossession agent appeared at Plaintiffs' place of business, Plaintiff Son asked the agent to leave the premises; this occurred four (4) times, but the agent did not leave.
- 15. Plaintiff Son also prohibited the agent's access to the Boat, which was behind closed doors, by physically standing in front of the first door.
- 16. PNC's agent did not leave, but because Plaintiff Son was physically blocking access, PNC's agent telephoned the Ephrata Police Department for assistance.
- 17. Three officers from the Ephrata Police Department appeared at the scene Officers John Doe #1, #2, and #3 (hereinafter jointly referred to as the "Defendant Officers").
 - 18. All three Defendant Officers were in full police uniform.
 - 19. All three Defendant Officers were armed.
 - 20. All three Defendant Officers arrived in marked police vehicles.
 - 21. At least one police vehicle had activated emergency lights.
- 22. The Defendant Officers ordered Plaintiff Son to move away from the access point;

 Plaintiff Son explained the situation, after which an Officer ordered Plaintiff Son to move out of the way.
 - 23. At that point, Plaintiff Son felt compelled to comply.

- 24. Plaintiff Son, in response to the orders of the Defendant Officers, removed himself from the access point to the business premises and Boat.
- 25. One of the Defendant Officers, a female, then pushed the first door out of Plaintiff Son's hands and began touching and punching the keypad(s) that activate the entrance doors to Plaintiffs' business until she found a button that opened a back door, thus assisting PNC's agent in breaking and entering for the purposes of repossession.
- 26. During this time period, one of the Defendant Officers said to Plaintiff Son, "I hope I don't get in trouble".
- 27. Pennsylvania law prohibits breaking and entering for the purposes of repossession absent a court order.
- 28. PNC had no Order permitting it to break and enter Plaintiffs' premises for the purpose of repossession.
- 29. The Boat was situated on a trailer in which PNC has neither a possessory nor a repossessory interest.
- 30. After the Defendant Officers opened the doors, PNC's agent repossessed the Boat and the trailer, during which repossession the Boat was damaged.
- 31. The Boat is rare and one of a kind in both build and appearance, as it has many custom features and a custom paint job, many of which customizations were undertaken after Plaintiffs.

Count I - REPLEVIN v. PNC

- 32. Paragraphs 1 through 29 are incorporated herein as if restated at length.
- 33. The boat is valued in the \$200,000-\$250,000.00 range.
- 34. According to notification from PNC, the Boat is currently located at National Liquidators, 5401 N Marginal Rd., Cleveland, OH 44114.

35. PNC has no legal possessory interest in the boat.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray this Honorable Court to issue a Writ of Seizure/Replevin and order the Lancaster County Sheriff to seize the boat from PNC or its agent or any non-party entity/person who may currently be in possession of the Boat, to turn over the Boat to Plaintiffs after the appropriate statutory time period, and for such other relief as may be just and proper.

Count II - Preliminary Injunction v. PNC

- 36. Paragraphs 1 through 35 are incorporated herein as if restated at length.
- 37. In the alternative, should Plaintiffs not prevail in Replevin, Plaintiffs Father and Corporation will not have an adequate remedy at law to stop the waste of and further damage to the Boat or to stop PNC from disposing of the Boat by sale or otherwise.
- 38. Should PNC dispose of the Boat, Plaintiffs Father and Corporation will be irreparably harmed due to the Boat's uniqueness there are no other boats just like this Boat.
 - 39. Plaintiffs Father and Corporation are highly likely to prevail in the instant action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray this Honorable Court to enter a Preliminary Injunction maintaining the status quo and prohibiting PNC from damaging, selling or otherwise disposing of the Boat, requiring PNC to take all proper care of the Boat pending resolution of the instant action, and for such other relief as may be just and proper.

Count III - Conversion v. PNC

- 40. Paragraphs 1 through 39 are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 41. Plaintiffs Father and Corporation own or have the only right to possession of both the Boat and the trailer.
 - 42. PNC has no lawful possessory interest in either the Boat or the trailer.

- 43. Possession of the Boat and trailer by PNC (through its agent) is wrongful.
- 44. The act of taking possession of the Boat and trailer by PNC, through its agent, was wrongful.
- 45. Plaintiffs Father and Corporation have not been able to use and enjoy the Boat and trailer since PNC took possession thereof, such that they are entitled to damages for such loss.
- 46. Plaintiffs Father and Corporation are entitled to damages equal to the fair market value of the Boat and trailer if PNC fails to return same.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray this Honorable Court to enter judgment in favor of the Plaintiffs and against Defendant PNC in an amount above the mandatory arbitration limit, same to be established at trial, and for such other relief as may be just and proper.

Count IV-Trespass v. PNC

- 47. Paragraphs 1 through 46 are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 48. PNC's agent came onto Plaintiffs' property and refused to remove himself upon Plaintiffs' multiple requests.
- 49. Once Plaintiffs requested PNC's agent to remove himself, said agent no longer had a lawful reason to be on Plaintiffs' property.
 - 50. PNC's agent trespassed on Plaintiffs' property.
 - 51. PNC is liable for the conduct of its agents.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray this Honorable Court to enter judgment in favor of the Plaintiffs and against Defendant PNC in an amount above the mandatory arbitration limit, same to be established at trial, and for such other relief as may be just and proper.

Count V - Breach of Peace v. PNC

52. Paragraphs 1 through 51 are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.

- 53. PNC's agent caused a breach of peace at, on, and around the property of the Plaintiffs and at, near and around the persons of the Plaintiffs.
 - 54. PNC is liable for the conduct of its agents.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray this Honorable Court to enter judgment in favor of the Plaintiffs and against Defendant PNC in an amount above the mandatory arbitration limit, same to be established at trial, and for such other relief as may be just and proper.

Count VI - Civil Rights Violation- 42 U.S.C. §1983 v. Defendant Officers

- 55. Paragraphs 1 through 54 are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 56. The Defendant Officers violated the civil rights of Plaintiff Son by ordering him to remove himself from an area where he had a lawful and legal right to be (his own business).
- 57. Plaintiff Son reasonably did not feel free to stay and, on the contrary, felt compelled to move.
- 58. The Defendant Officers had no lawful or legal authority i.e. no pending emergency, court order, probable cause, or reasonable suspicion upon which they relied in ordering Plaintiff Son to remove himself from his own property.
- 59. The removal of Plaintiff Son by order of the Defendant Officers amounts to an illegal seizure of his person in violation of the United States Constitution.
- 60. The Defendant Officers violated the civil rights of Plaintiff Son in that they were acting under color of law while violating Plaintiff Son's constitutional rights.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray this Honorable Court to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff Son and against the Defendant Officers in an amount above the mandatory arbitration limit, same to be established at trial, and for such other relief as may be just and proper.

Count VII - Civil Rights Violation- 42 U.S.C. §1983 v. Defendant Officers

- 61. Paragraphs 1 through 60 are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 62. Plaintiffs Father and Corporation had the right to prohibit access to the Boat to PNC.
- 63. Plaintiffs Father and Corporation had the right to legal due process of complaint and answer in order for PNC to prove a legal possessory interest in the Boat.
- 64. The Defendant Officers, acting under color of law, violated Plaintiffs Father's and Corporation's constitutional right to due process and equal protection by aiding PNC (through its agent) in taking possession (a civil matter) by way of breaking and entering and breaching the peace.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray this Honorable Court to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiffs Father and Corporation and against the Defendant Officers in an amount above the mandatory arbitration limit, same to be established at trial, and for such other relief as may be just and proper.

Count VIII - Civil Rights Violation- 42 U.S.C. §1983 v. Boro

- 65. Paragraphs 1 through 64 are incorporated herein as though fully set forth.
- 66. Plaintiffs had the right to prohibit access to the Boat to PNC.
- 67. Plaintiffs Father and Corporation had the right to legal due process of complaint and answer in order for PNC to prove a legal possessory interest in the Boat.
- 68. The Defendant Officers, acting under color of law, violated Plaintiffs Father's and Corporation's constitutional right to due process and equal protection by aiding PNC (through its agent) in taking possession (a civil matter) by way of breaking and entering and breaching the peace.
 - 69. The Boro did not properly train its police officers in the use of appropriate force.

70. The Boro did not properly train its police officers in when they can or cannot aid in civil repossessions.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray this Honorable Court to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and against the Boro in an amount above the mandatory arbitration limit, same to be established at trial, and for such other relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Sara A. Austin, Esq. ID No. 59052 Austin Law Firm LLC 226 E. Market St.

York, PA 17403 Telephone (717) 846-2246 Attorney for Plaintiffs

VERIFICATION

The undersigned hereby verify that the information set forth in the foregoing document is true and correct to the best of their knowledge, information and belief. They understand that any false statements contained herein are subject to the penalties relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

DATE:

10/29/10

MCHOLAS REINHART individually and on behalf of Sunset Express Ltd.

10/29/10 DATE: